

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 09/775,179 02/01/2001 Toshihiko Hamamatsu 450100-02984 7284 **EXAMINER** 20999 7590 10/13/2004 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10151

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004

2613

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(S)	
Advisory Action	09/775,179	HAMAMATSU ET AL.	
,, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andy S. Rao	2613	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
 a)			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,25 and 39-54</u> .	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,25 and 39-54</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).			
0. Other: Note the attached Interview Summary of 10/07/		ANDY RAO W EXAMINER	
		Andy S. Rao Primary Examiner	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment including the "motion vector for each pixel..." limitation as in claims 1, 13, and 25 would require further search and/or consideration and will not be entered.